

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATHS DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pitent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O., Bo. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,017	12/31/2003	Ambuj Shatdal	11087 (NCR.0119US)	3663
John D. Cowar	12/31/2003 Ambuj Shatdal 00 03/09/2007 IP WHQ-4W Blvd.	EXAMINER		
10/751.017 12/31/2003 Ambuj Shatdal	FLEURANTIN, JEAN B			
Law Department IP WHQ-4W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/751,017	SHATDAL, AMBUJ				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JEAN B. FLEURANTIN	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		II be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-7 and 12-14.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,8-11 and 15-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	· IASTRO				
	MOHANNAB A'LL	MOHAMMAD ALI PRIMARY EXAMINER				



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments submitted have been fully considered, do not place the application in condition for allowance because the combination of Reiter and APA discloses the claimed limitations. For example "receiving, by at least one processor, a query that specifies an aggregate on distinct values of at least one attribute" (i.e., receiving a query producing a multi level aggregation table set; col. 4, lines 34-37), "the query further specifying grouping on plural grouping sets" (i.e., query containing a sum of order amount for all rows (sets); see col. 5, lines 23-25). However, APA discloses a method for storing the distinct values of the at least one attribute in a first table (see APA page 3, paragraph [0006], line 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Reiter by storing the distinct values of the at least one attribute in a first table as disclosed by APA (see APA, page 3, paragraph [0006], line 1). Such a modification would allow the method of Reiter to provide table sets in response to a multiple level aggregation query (see Reiter col. 2, lines 60-62).